

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,908	01/29/2004	Iain F. McVey	MEDZ 2 01313	5315	
759	7590 11/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr., Esq.			CONLEY, SEA	CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT	
Fay, Sharpe, Fag	gan, Minnich & McKee, L	LP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100 Superior Avenue			1744		
Cleveland, OH					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
	Ŋ,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astinus Commencer	10/767,908	MCVEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sean E. Conley	1744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	5	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status		·		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	January 2004.			
	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in a	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have beer	received in this National Stag	е	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	t received.		
			,	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/767,908

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. In view of the papers filed July 18, 2005, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by Sean Conley.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a decontamination system, classified in class 422, subclass 123.
 - Claims 10-17, drawn to a method of decontaminating buildings, classified in class 422, subclass 28.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/767,908

Art Unit: 1744

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the apparatus can be used in a method that does not require circulation of the vapor decontaminant through the HVAC ductwork. In another example, the apparatus can be used in a method of only decontaminating the HVAC ductwork and not the associated rooms as claimed by the Applicant.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/767,908 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Conley whose telephone number is 571-272-8414. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 30, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER